

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

| Bill# | HB0422 | | | Title: | Revise e | minent domain law on necessity for railroads |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| Primary Sponsor: | Cohenour, Jill | | | Status: | As Intro | duced |
| ☐ Significant | Local Gov Impact | | Needs to be include | d in HB 2 | V | Technical Concerns |
| ☐ Included in the Executive Budget | | ~ | Significant Long-Term Impacts | | | Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

| | FISCAL S | UMMARY | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
| | Difference | Difference | Difference | Difference |
| Expenditures: | | | | |
| General Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| State Special Revenue | \$5,000 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| General Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| State Special Revenue | \$0 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| Net Impact-General Fund Balance: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Description of fiscal impact:

The ongoing fiscal impact of HB 422 to the Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) is unknown. HB 422 places MDT in a regulatory role where expenditures cannot be established for the fiscal impact. MDT estimates right of way issues and legal support are main components of the unknown fiscal impact.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Transportation (MDT)

- 1. MDT should meet the requirement of developing procedural and substantive rules outlined in the bill within the 2011 biennium. This would have a fiscal impact to the department that is undetermined at this time. It is estimated that there would be a fiscal impact associated with the notice, adoption, and public hearing process for the administrative rule function of no more than \$5,000.
- 2. The condemnor will bear all expenses related to the application, including reimbursing MDT for actual and necessary costs related to the application per amended 70-30-111, Section 2 (7), MCA, in HB 422.

| | FY 2010 Difference | FY 2011 Difference | FY 2012 Difference | FY 2013 Difference | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Fiscal Impact: | | | | | | |
| Expenditures: Operating Expenses | \$5,000 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | |
| Funding of Expenditures: State Special Revenue (02) | \$5,000 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | |
| Revenues: State Special Revenue (02) | \$0 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | |
| Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures): State Special Revenue (02) (\$5,000) Unknown Unknown Unknown | | | | | | |

Long-Term Impacts:

1. This legislation, by placing MDT in a lead role for condemnation, places legal costs and additional legal FTE needs for MDT that are foreseen as a long term impact. These impacts are undeterminable at this point due to the lack of information on issues that could come before MDT.

| Sponsor's Initials | Date | Budget Director's Initials | Date |
|--------------------|------|----------------------------|------|